The Evolution of Canine Cognition: Tracing the Psychology of Dogs

The field of canine psychology has experienced a profound and significant transformation, shaped by the integration of methodological advancements and insights drawn from a range of interdisciplinary perspectives. This evolution reflects a deeper understanding of canine cognition, emotion, and social dynamics, underpinned by innovative research techniques and technologies.

Developments in behavioural science, neurology, and genetics have played pivotal roles in reshaping our comprehension of dogs' mental processes, leading to more nuanced interpretations of their behaviour and emotional states.

Advances in technology, such as brain imaging and wearable sensors, have provided researchers with unprecedented access to the workings of the canine mind, allowing for the observation and analysis of dogs' responses to various stimuli in real-time. These advancements have enabled scientists to explore the complexity of canine cognition, including memory, problem-solving capabilities, and understanding of human cues, with greater precision and detail. And the integration of insights from disciplines such as ethology, psychology, and anthropology has enriched the study of canine psychology.

This interdisciplinary approach has provided a more holistic understanding of dogs, considering not just their behaviour and cognition, but also their evolution, domestication, and the role they play in human societies. Through this approach, research has expanded to include studies on the human-canine bond, exploring how dogs perceive and interact with humans, and how these interactions influence both canine and human psychology.

The implications of these developments extend beyond academic interest, influencing practical applications in dog training, welfare, and therapy.

Enhanced understanding of canine psychology is leading to more effective and humane training methods, improved welfare standards, and innovative therapeutic interventions, where dogs are partners in supporting human mental and emotional well-being such as assistance dogs for mental health.

We can begin by examining the origins of canine domestication, positing how selective pressures and human interaction have sculpted the cognitive and

behavioural repertoires of domestic dogs (Canis lupus familiaris). Subsequent sections will look at the foundational studies in canine psychology, spotlighting pioneering figures and experiments that have contributed to the delineation of canine learning processes, emotional states, and social cognition. The narrative will explore the ascendancy of behavioural and cognitive paradigms in canine research, elucidating how these approaches have enriched our comprehension of dogs' mental faculties.

The penultimate section will address contemporary advancements in the study of canine cognition, highlighting the role of technological innovation and interdisciplinary research in uncovering the complexities of the canine mind. Finally, we can speculate on future trajectories within the field, highlighting the potential implications for enhancing canine welfare and fortifying the human-dog bond.

Section 1: Early Domestication and Canine-Human Bonds

The Genesis of Domestication

The domestication of the dog, with its origins traced back to wolf ancestors, marks a significant epoch in the narrative of human civilization, heralding the establishment of a symbiotic relationship between humans and canines. This transformative era, underpinned by archaeological and genetic evidence, is estimated to have occurred within an extensive temporal range of 15,000 to 40,000 years ago (Larson et al., 2012; Thalmann et al., 2013). Notably, research by Freedman et al. (2014) suggests that the domestication process may have unfolded independently in multiple geographical regions, challenging the notion of a singular domestication event.

This period of domestication is characterised by the selection of traits that facilitated cohabitation with humans, including tameness—a decrease in fear and aggression towards humans, social tolerance—the capability to live peacefully within human societies, and a predisposition to understand and act upon human signals and commands (Hare et al., 2012; Miklósi et al., 2004). These traits were instrumental in the transition of wild canines into entities that provided reciprocal benefits to both species.

The practice of selective breeding during this time played a pivotal role in shaping the behavioural and physical characteristics of early domestic dogs, differentiating them from their wild progenitors. This evolutionary process, marked by both phenotypic and temperamental changes, also influenced the integration of dogs into different roles within human societies, ranging from guardians and hunting allies to burden bearers and companions (Serpell, 1995; Coppinger and Coppinger, 2001), illustrating their versatility and essential role in human communities.

Furthermore, the domestication of dogs transcends biological and ecological dimensions, encompassing cultural aspects that signify the deepening relationship between humans and canines. The emergence of a mutualistic relationship between the two species serves as a testament to the ingenuity and adaptability of early human societies (Morey, 1994; Shipman, 2015). This process illustrates how domestication surpassed the mere taming of wild animals, evolving into a profound, reciprocal bond that has continued to develop through the millennia.

Psychological Implications of Domestication

The domestication of dogs has had significant ramifications on their psychological makeup, altering cognitive and behavioural traits to better align with human social environments. Comparative research between domestic dogs and their closest wild counterparts, wolves, has provided valuable insights into the evolutionary trajectory of canine cognition and behaviour. Notably, studies spearheaded by Hare et al. (2002) have demonstrated that dogs possess markedly enhanced social cognition abilities, particularly in interpreting human communicative signals, a capacity that remains relatively undeveloped in wolves. This differentiation highlights the pivotal influence of domestication in refining the psychological architecture of dogs, rendering them more adept at navigating the complexities of human social structures.

Further investigations into canine cognition reveal that domestic dogs exhibit a unique sensitivity to human gestures, such as pointing and gaze direction, which are crucial for effective communication between species (Miklósi et al., 2003; Udell et al., 2008). This sensitivity suggests a co-evolutionary process where dogs have been selected for their ability to understand and respond to human social cues, facilitating their role as companions and working animals within human societies.

The domestication process has been linked to changes in dogs' problem-solving strategies, emotional responses, and attachment patterns. Dogs display a propensity to look to humans for guidance in problem-solving situations, a phenomenon known as "social referencing" (Marshall-Pescini et al., 2009). This contrasts with wolves, who are more likely to tackle problems independently. Additionally, research into canine attachment systems (Prato-Previde et al., 2003) indicates that dogs form secure attachments with their human caregivers, mirroring the attachment behaviours observed in human infants towards their parents. Such findings underscore the depth of the psychological bond between humans and dogs, further evidencing the transformative impact of domestication on canine psychology.

The domestication of dogs has also been associated with modifications in temperament, including reduced aggression and heightened sociability (Coppinger

and Coppinger, 2001). These temperamental adjustments have made dogs more compatible with human companionship and various roles in human societies, from service and therapy animals to beloved pets.

The domestication process has profoundly influenced the psychological attributes of domestic dogs, fostering cognitive and behavioural adaptations that facilitate their integration into human societies. These adaptations encompass advanced social cognition abilities, problem-solving strategies, emotional responses, and attachment patterns, highlighting the significant impact of domestication on the psychological development of dogs.

The Co-evolution of Dog-Human Relationships

The interspecies relationship between dogs and humans represents a paradigmatic example of co-evolution, a process wherein reciprocal selective pressures between two species lead to mutual adaptations. This intricate evolutionary dance has profound implications for the social and cognitive development of dogs, particularly in terms of their capacity to understand and integrate into complex human social environments. The co-evolutionary framework posits that dogs have acquired specialised cognitive abilities, including the nuanced interpretation of human gestures and expressions, which have been instrumental in their roles as companions, working animals, and therapeutic agents (Miklósi & Topál, 2013).

Evidence supporting the co-evolutionary model is abundant and multifaceted. For instance, studies by Hare and Tomasello (2005) have demonstrated that dogs exhibit a remarkable sensitivity to human communicative signals, surpassing even that of our closest primate relatives in some cases. This sensitivity enables dogs to interpret human gestures, such as pointing and eye direction, facilitating effective interspecies communication. Such cognitive adaptations are thought to have arisen through selective pressures favouring dogs that could better understand and anticipate human behaviours, thereby enhancing their survival and reproductive success within human-dominated environments.

Additionally, the co-evolution of dogs and humans has been linked to neurological changes in dogs that support enhanced social cognition. Research by Berns et al. (2012) using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has shown that dogs have brain structures that respond to positive human interactions, such as praise and affection, suggesting that the dog brain has evolved to process social cues from humans in a manner conducive to bonding and cooperation.

The implications of this co-evolutionary relationship extend beyond cognitive abilities to affect emotional and behavioural traits conducive to human-dog interactions. For

example, dogs have been found to display attachment behaviours towards humans that mirror the attachment patterns observed in human children, indicating a deep-seated bond that has evolutionary underpinnings (Prato-Previde et al., 2003).

Furthermore, the co-evolutionary perspective sheds light on the development of dogs' roles within human societies. Dogs' abilities to perform tasks such as herding, guarding, and tracking, as well as their use in therapeutic contexts, such as assisting individuals with disabilities or providing emotional support, underscore the multifaceted nature of the dog-human relationship. These roles are not merely a product of training but are underpinned by evolutionary adaptations that have made dogs uniquely attuned to human needs and social cues (Serpell, 1995; Odendaal & Meintjes, 2003).

Section 2: Foundations of Canine Psychology

Early Theoretical Perspectives

The formal exploration of canine psychology, particularly in understanding the intricacies of dog behaviour and cognition, has its roots in the pioneering work of the late 19th and early 20th centuries. One of the seminal figures in this domain is Ivan Pavlov (1849-1936), whose groundbreaking experiments on classical conditioning have significantly shaped the trajectory of behavioural studies in dogs. Pavlov's research, meticulously documented in his 1927 publication, highlighted the mechanism by which dogs could learn to associate a neutral stimulus, such as the sound of a bell, with a biologically significant event, like the presentation of food, leading to a conditioned response (salivation) in anticipation of food (Pavlov, 1927). This work not only highlighted the methodological potential for investigating canine mental processes but also established a foundational framework for the behaviourist approach to understanding animal (and human) learning and behaviour through directly observable phenomena.

Following Pavlov's contributions, the field of canine psychology continued to evolve, with subsequent researchers building upon his initial findings. Edward Thorndike's law of effect, which posits that behaviours followed by satisfying outcomes are likely to be repeated, further contributed to the development of behaviourism, and had implications for understanding canine behaviour (Thorndike, 1911). Thorndike's work, particularly his puzzle box experiments, underscored the significance of consequences in shaping behaviour, a principle that has been instrumental in dog training techniques.

The mid-20th century saw further advancements with B.F. Skinner's operant conditioning theory, which expanded upon classical conditioning by introducing the

concept of reinforcement and punishment to modify behaviour (Skinner, 1938). Skinner's research provided a more nuanced understanding of how behaviours could be learned or extinguished based on the consequences they produce, offering practical applications for canine training and behaviour modification.

The cognitive revolution in the latter half of the 20th century began to challenge the behaviourist model, introducing the notion that internal mental states, such as intentionality, problem-solving skills, and memory, play a crucial role in understanding canine behaviour. Researchers like John Paul Scott and John L. Fuller (1965), through their studies on the genetics and social behaviour of dogs, began to explore the interplay between genetics, environment, and cognition, further enriching the field of canine psychology.

Contributions of Comparative Psychology

The emergence of comparative psychology as a distinct field of study represents a pivotal development in the understanding of animal behaviour and cognition, offering profound insights into the evolutionary underpinnings of mental processes across species. Comparative psychology's objective is to examine the similarities and differences in behaviour and mental functions among animals, including humans, thereby providing a broader context for understanding the adaptive significance of these processes. A key figure in this field, Edward L. Thorndike, made substantial contributions through his work on trial-and-error learning, notably through his puzzle box experiments initially conducted with cats. Thorndike's research, detailed in his 1898 publication, demonstrated that animals learn to solve problems and adapt their behaviour through a process of trial and error, leading to the formulation of the law of effect, which posits that actions leading to satisfying outcomes are more likely to be repeated (Thorndike, 1898).

Thorndike's findings have had significant implications for understanding canine cognition, suggesting that dogs, like cats and other animals, develop cognitive and behavioural strategies through similar learning processes. This perspective has enriched the field of canine psychology by highlighting the cognitive capabilities of dogs and their capacity for problem-solving and learning through interaction with their environment.

The influence of comparative psychology extends beyond the work of Thorndike. Researchers such as Konrad Lorenz and Nikolaas Tinbergen further expanded the field by integrating ethological approaches, focusing on the instinctual behaviours of animals in their natural environments. Their contributions have facilitated a deeper understanding of the natural behavioural repertoires of canines and how these

behaviours have been shaped by evolutionary pressures (Lorenz, 1950; Tinbergen, 1951).

Moreover, the advent of cognitive ethology in the late 20th century, with pioneers like Donald Griffin advocating for the study of animal minds and their subjective experiences, has pushed the boundaries of comparative psychology even further (Griffin, 1978). This approach has encouraged more sophisticated investigations into canine cognition, exploring aspects such as awareness, intentionality, and emotion in dogs, thereby providing a more comprehensive understanding of their mental lives.

In recent years, the field of comparative psychology has continued to evolve, incorporating advances in neuroscience and genetic research to explore the biological bases of behaviour and cognition in dogs and other animals. This interdisciplinary approach has led to groundbreaking discoveries regarding the neural mechanisms underlying learning, memory, and social cognition in canines, offering new perspectives on the complexity of their mental processes (Berns, 2013).

Ethology and the Naturalistic Study of Behaviour

The mid-20th century witnessed the ascendancy of ethology, an approach that emphasized the observational study of animal behaviour in naturalistic settings. Ethologists such as Konrad Lorenz and Nikolaas Tinbergen expanded the scope of behavioural research to include innate behaviour patterns and the evolutionary context of animal behaviour. While much of their work focused on avian species, the ethological framework they developed provided valuable insights into the social and instinctual behaviours of dogs, highlighting the importance of evolutionary history in shaping canine behaviour (Lorenz, 1954).

Section 3: The Rise of Behavioural Studies

Behaviourism and the Modulation of Canine Behaviour

The ascendance of behaviourism as a dominant paradigm in psychology marked a significant shift in the study and understanding of canine behaviour, with the work of B.F. Skinner playing a pivotal role in this transformation. Skinner's seminal contributions to the field, particularly his development of the concept of operant conditioning, have had a profound impact on canine psychology and training methodologies. Operant conditioning is predicated on the idea that the consequences of behaviour—whether reinforcements (positive outcomes) or punishments (negative outcomes)—can significantly influence the likelihood of that behaviour being repeated in the future. Skinner's extensive research, detailed in his 1938 publication "The

Behaviour of Organisms," laid the groundwork for this approach, demonstrating the efficacy of reinforcement and punishment in modifying behaviour (Skinner, 1938).

The principles of operant conditioning have been extensively applied to dog training, revolutionizing the ways in which behavioural modification is approached. By employing positive reinforcement—rewarding desired behaviours with treats, praise, or play—trainers and behaviourists can effectively encourage the repetition of those behaviours. Conversely, the use of negative reinforcement or punishment can decrease the likelihood of unwanted behaviours. This methodological framework has enabled the development of training programs that enhance the welfare and behavioural health of dogs, facilitating their ability to learn complex behaviours and adapt to the demands of human society.

The impact of behaviourism on canine training extends beyond the basic principles of reinforcement and punishment. The concept of shaping, also derived from Skinner's work, involves gradually guiding dogs towards a desired behaviour through successive approximations, rewarding behaviours that are incrementally closer to the target behaviour. This technique has proven particularly effective in teaching dogs a wide range of tasks, from basic obedience commands to more complex behaviours required in service and therapy work.

Furthermore, the principles of operant conditioning have been instrumental in addressing behavioural problems in dogs, such as aggression, anxiety, and phobias. By understanding the underlying mechanisms of learning and behaviour modification, trainers and behaviourists can implement strategies that reduce or eliminate problematic behaviours, thereby improving the quality of life for both dogs and their human companions.

The rise of behavioural studies, epitomized by the work of B.F. Skinner, has significantly influenced the field of canine psychology, providing a scientific basis for understanding and modifying canine behaviour. The application of operant conditioning principles in dog training has not only enhanced our ability to communicate effectively with dogs but also contributed to the development of more humane and effective training techniques. This approach underscores the dynamic interplay between theory and practice in the ongoing effort to deepen our understanding of canine behaviour and improve the human-dog relationship.

Cognitive Psychology and Understanding Canine Minds

The transition towards cognitive psychology in the latter half of the 20th century and the onset of the 21st century marked a significant evolution in the study of canine minds, shifting the focus from purely behavioural analyses to a deeper exploration of

the mental processes underpinning canine behaviour. This cognitive approach has enabled researchers to delve into various dimensions of canine cognition, including memory, problem-solving abilities, and the interpretation of human communicative cues, thereby providing a richer understanding of how dogs perceive and interact with their environment.

A seminal figure in this domain, Brian Hare, and his colleagues have made substantial contributions to our understanding of canine social cognition. Through a series of innovative experiments, Hare et al. (2002) demonstrated that dogs possess an exceptional ability to interpret human gestures, such as pointing, and facial expressions, even outperforming chimpanzees, our closest genetic relatives, in some tasks. This finding suggests that dogs have undergone a cognitive specialisation that enables them to communicate effectively with humans, a trait that has likely been shaped by thousands of years of domestication and co-evolution with human beings (Hare & Tomasello, 2005).

Further research in cognitive psychology has explored dogs' problem-solving capabilities, revealing that dogs can exhibit flexible problem-solving strategies and can learn by observation, indicating a level of cognitive complexity that was previously unappreciated (Frank & Frank, 1982). Additionally, studies on canine memory have shown that dogs possess both short-term and long-term memory capabilities, enabling them to remember specific commands, locations, and individuals over extended periods (Fiset et al., 2003).

The cognitive approach to understanding canine minds has also shed light on dogs' emotional intelligence. Research by Paul Zak (2014) exploring the neurochemistry of the dog-human relationship has found that dogs produce oxytocin, the so-called "love hormone," in response to positive interactions with humans, further evidence of the deep-seated bond between the two species.

This shift towards a cognitive psychological perspective has not only expanded our knowledge of canine cognition but has also had practical implications for how we train, care for, and interact with dogs. By acknowledging the cognitive and emotional capacities of dogs, trainers and owners can adopt more humane and effective methods that cater to the individual needs and abilities of their canine companions.

Section 4: Modern Advances in Canine Cognition

Technological Innovations and Interdisciplinary Approaches

The exploration of canine cognition has entered an exciting phase in the 21st century, marked by significant technological innovations and interdisciplinary research approaches. These advancements have opened new avenues for understanding the complexities of the canine mind, providing deeper insights into dogs' cognitive processes, perceptual abilities, and emotional experiences.

One of the most groundbreaking developments in the study of canine cognition is the application of neuroimaging techniques, notably functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). This non-invasive method allows researchers to observe the brain activity of awake and unrestrained dogs in real-time, offering invaluable insights into how dogs process information and respond to various stimuli. The pioneering work by Berns et al. (2012) utilizing fMRI has illuminated aspects of canine cognition that were previously inaccessible, such as the neural mechanisms underlying dogs' responses to human cues and their processing of olfactory information. These studies not only reveal the areas of the brain that are active during specific cognitive tasks but also provide evidence of emotional responses, underscoring the depth of dogs' emotional lives (Berns, 2013).

Further technological innovations, such as eye-tracking systems and heart rate monitors, have complemented neuroimaging studies by providing additional metrics to assess cognitive and emotional states in dogs. Eye-tracking technology, for example, has been used to study visual attention and gaze patterns in dogs, offering clues about their social cognition and how they prioritise distinct types of information in their environment (Kaminski et al., 2017). Similarly, physiological measures like heart rate variability have been employed to gauge emotional arousal and stress levels in dogs, contributing to a more holistic understanding of their emotional well-being (Beerda et al., 1998).

Interdisciplinary research methods, combining insights from ethology, psychology, neuroscience, and genetics, have further enriched the study of canine cognition. This integrative approach has facilitated a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic and environmental factors influencing cognitive development and behaviour in dogs. For instance, studies examining the genetic basis of behavioural traits have identified specific genes associated with cognitive abilities and temperament in dogs, shedding light on the heritability of these traits (vonHoldt et al., 2017).

The combination of advanced technologies and interdisciplinary research has significantly advanced our understanding of canine cognition, revealing the

sophisticated cognitive and emotional capacities of dogs. These modern approaches not only enhance our knowledge of the canine mind but also have practical implications for improving canine welfare, training, and human-dog interactions. By deepening our appreciation of dogs' cognitive and emotional complexities, these research endeavours foster a more empathetic and informed relationship between humans and their canine companions.

The Future of Canine Psychological Research

The trajectory of canine psychological research is set towards an increasingly nuanced understanding of the canine mind, propelled by advancements in technology, genetics, and interdisciplinary research methodologies. The future of this field promises to delve deeper into several key areas: the genetic foundations of behaviour, the cognitive implications of domestication, and the expansive therapeutic potential found in human-canine interactions. These emerging research areas are poised to offer new insights into canine cognition, behaviour, and their implications for canine welfare and human society.

One of the most promising areas of future research lies in the genetic underpinnings of canine behaviour. With the advent of sophisticated genetic sequencing technologies, researchers can now identify specific genes and genetic variants that influence a wide range of behaviours, from aggression and fearfulness to sociability and trainability (vonHoldt et al., 2017). Understanding the genetic basis of these traits not only sheds light on the evolutionary history of domestic dogs but also informs breeding practices, behavioural interventions, and strategies to enhance canine well-being.

Additionally, the impact of domestication on cognitive evolution remains a rich field for exploration. Comparative studies between domestic dogs, their wild counterparts (e.g., wolves), and other domesticated species provide a unique lens through which to examine how domestication has shaped cognitive abilities and social behaviours (Hare et al., 2002; Miklósi et al., 2017). Such research can illuminate the evolutionary pressures and environmental factors that have contributed to the development of dogs' remarkable social cognition, including their ability to communicate with and understand humans.

The therapeutic potential of human-canine interactions also stands out as a vital area of future research. Dogs have been increasingly recognised for their ability to provide emotional support, reduce stress and anxiety, and facilitate social interactions among humans. Studies exploring the neurochemical basis of the human-canine bond, such as those examining oxytocin release during interactions, offer profound implications for therapeutic practices, including animal-assisted therapy and interventions for

individuals with mental health conditions (Odendaal & Meintjes, 2003; Powell et al., 2018).

As the field progresses, interdisciplinary collaborations are essential for advancing our understanding of canine psychology. Ethologists, cognitive scientists, geneticists, veterinarians, and practitioners in the burgeoning field of animal-assisted therapies bring diverse perspectives and methodologies to the study of canine cognition and behaviour. Such collaborations not only enrich the research landscape but also ensure that findings are translated into practical applications that benefit both dogs and humans.

The future of canine psychological research holds the promise of deeper insights into the genetic, cognitive, and therapeutic dimensions of the dog-human relationship. By integrating advances in genetics, cognitive science, and ethology, this field is poised to enhance our understanding of canine cognition and well-being, paving the way for more informed and compassionate interactions with our canine companions.

Conclusion

The evolution of canine psychology, from its inception with Pavlov's classical conditioning experiments to the sophisticated neuroimaging studies of today, underscores a journey of discovery into the canine mind. This progression has unveiled the remarkable capabilities of dogs, including their ability to learn from and adapt to the human world, their sophisticated social cognition, and the depth of their emotional experiences. The foundational work by early 20th-century psychologists laid the groundwork for understanding basic behavioural principles, while the advent of cognitive psychology and technological advancements have provided unprecedented insights into how dogs perceive their environment, solve problems, and communicate.

The integration of various scientific disciplines, including genetics, ethology, neuroscience, and cognitive science, has enriched the field of canine psychology, offering a multidimensional understanding of canine behaviour and cognition. This interdisciplinary approach has not only advanced our scientific knowledge but has also had practical implications for dog training, welfare, and therapy, highlighting the importance of evidence-based practices in enhancing the human-canine relationship.

Moreover, the exploration of the genetic underpinnings of behaviour and the cognitive effects of domestication has begun to answer fundamental questions about the nature of our companionship with dogs. These inquiries not only illuminate the evolutionary path that has led to the domestic dog but also highlight the mutual benefits of this relationship, emphasizing the role of dogs in human societies across history.

As we move forward, the potential of canine psychological research to impact both human and canine lives remains vast. The ongoing development of non-invasive research techniques, such as fMRI and other neuroimaging tools, promises to further demystify the workings of the canine brain, offering new perspectives on canine intelligence, emotions, and the intricacies of dog-human communication. Additionally, the therapeutic potential of dogs, particularly in the realms of mental health and physical rehabilitation, offers exciting avenues for future research, with the possibility of developing new modalities for therapy and support.

In conclusion, the study of canine psychology is more than an academic pursuit; it is a reflection of the deep and enduring bond between humans and dogs. By advancing our understanding of the canine mind, we not only enhance the quality of life for dogs but also enrich the lives of humans who share their lives with these remarkable animals. The future of canine psychological research promises to deepen our appreciation for the cognitive and emotional capacities of dogs, further cementing the unique and precious relationship that exists between our two species.

References

Beerda, B., Schilder, M. B. H., van Hooff, J. A. R. A. M., de Vries, H. W., & Mol, J. A. (1998). "Behavioural, saliva cortisol and heart rate responses to different types of stimuli in dogs." Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 58(3-4), 365-381.

Berns, G. S. (2013). How Dogs Love Us: A Neuroscientist and His Adopted Dog Decode the Canine Brain. New Harvest.

Berns, G. S., Brooks, A. M., & Spivak, M. (2012). "Functional MRI in awake unrestrained dogs." PLoS ONE, 7(5), e38027.

Coppinger, R., & Coppinger, L. (2001). Dogs: A New Understanding of Canine Origin, Behaviour and Evolution. Chicago University Press.

Fiset, S., Beaulieu, C., & Landry, F. (2003). "Duration of dogs' (Canis familiaris) working memory in search for disappearing objects." Animal Cognition, 6(1), 1-10.

Frank, H., & Frank, M. G. (1982). "On the effects of domestication on canine social development and behaviour." Applied Animal Ethology, 8(6), 507-525.

Freedman, A. H., et al. (2014). "Genome Sequencing Highlights the Dynamic Early History of Dogs." Science, vol. 343, no. 6169, pp. 622-627.

Griffin, D. R. (1978). Prospects for a Cognitive Ethology. Behavioural and Brain Sciences, 1(4), 527-538.

Hare, B., & Tomasello, M. (2005). "Human-like social skills in dogs?" Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9(9), 439-444.

Hare, B., Brown, M., Williamson, C., & Tomasello, M. (2002). "The domestication of social cognition in dogs." Science, 298(5598), 1634-1636.

Hare, B., Brown, M., Williamson, C., & Tomasello, M. (2002). "The domestication of social cognition in dogs." Science, 298(5598), 1634-1636.

Hare, B., et al. (2012). "The Domestication Hypothesis for Dogs' Skills with Human Communication: A Response to Udell et al. (2008) and Wynne et al. (2008)." Animal Behaviour, vol. 79, e1-e6.

Kaminski, J., Hynds, J., Morris, P., & Waller, B. M. (2017). "Human attention affects facial expressions in domestic dogs." Scientific Reports, 7, 12914.

Larson, G., et al. (2012). "Rethinking Dog Domestication by Integrating Genetics, Archeology, and Biogeography." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 109, no. 23, pp. 8878-8883.

Lorenz, K. (1950). The Comparative Method in Studying Innate Behaviour Patterns. Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology, 4, 221-268.

Marshall-Pescini, S., Valsecchi, P., Petak, I., Accorsi, P. A., & Previde, E. P. (2009). "Does training make you smarter? The effects of training on dogs' performance in a problem-solving task." Behavioural Processes, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 449-454.

Miklósi, Á., & Topál, J. (2013). "What does it take to become 'best friends'? Evolutionary changes in canine social competence." Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 17(6), 287-294.

Miklósi, Á., et al. (2004). "A Simple Reason for a Big Difference: Wolves Do Not Look Back at Humans, but Dogs Do." Current Biology, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 763-766.

Miklósi, Á., Polgárdi, R., Topál, J., & Csányi, V. (2000). "Intentional behaviour in dog-human communication: an experimental analysis of 'showing' behaviour in the dog." Animal Cognition, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 159-166.

Morey, D. F. (1994). "The Early Evolution of the Domestic Dog." American Scientist, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 336-347.

Odendaal, J. S. J., & Meintjes, R. A. (2003). "Neurophysiological correlates of affiliative behaviour between humans and dogs." The Veterinary Journal, 165(3), 296-301.

Pavlov, I. P. (1927). Conditioned Reflexes. Oxford University Press.

Powell, L., Guastella, A. J., McGreevy, P., Bauman, A., Edwards, K. M., & Stamatakis, E. (2018). "The physiological function of oxytocin in humans and its acute response to human-dog interactions: A review of the literature." Journal of Veterinary Behaviour, 23, 24-32.

Prato-Previde, E., Custance, D. M., Spiezio, C., & Sabatini, F. (2003). "Is the dog-human relationship an attachment bond? An observational study using Ainsworth's strange situation." Behaviour, 140(2), 225-254.

Prato-Previde, E., Custance, D. M., Spiezio, C., & Sabatini, F. (2003). "Is the dog-human relationship an attachment bond? An observational study using Ainsworth's strange situation." Behaviour, vol. 140, pp. 225-254.

Scott, J. P., & Fuller, J. L. (1965). Genetics and the Social Behaviour of the Dog. The University of Chicago Press.

Serpell, J. (1995). The Domestic Dog: Its Evolution, Behaviour and Interactions with People. Cambridge University Press.

Shipman, P. (2015). "The Invaders: How Humans and Their Dogs Drove Neanderthals to Extinction." Harvard University Press.

Skinner, B. F. (1938). The Behaviour of Organisms: An Experimental Analysis. Appleton-Century.

Thalmann, O., et al. (2013). "Complete Mitochondrial Genomes of Ancient Canids Suggest a European Origin of Domestic Dogs." Science, vol. 342, no. 6160, pp. 871-874.

Thorndike, E. L. (1898). Animal Intelligence: An Experimental Study of the Associative Processes in Animals. The Psychological Review: Monograph Supplements, 2(4).

Thorndike, E. L. (1911). Animal Intelligence: Experimental Studies. Macmillan.

Tinbergen, N. (1951). The Study of Instinct. Oxford University Press.

Udell, M. A., Dorey, N. R., & Wynne, C. D. L. (2008). "Wolves outperform dogs in following human social cues." Animal Behaviour, vol. 76, no. 6, pp. 1767-1773.

vonHoldt, B. M., Shuldiner, E., Koch, I. J., Kartzinel, R. Y., Hogan, A., Brubaker, L., Wanser, S., Stahler, D., Wynne, C. D. L., Ostrander, E. A., Sinsheimer, J. S., & Udell, M. A. R. (2017). "Structural variants in genes associated with human Williams-Beuren syndrome underlie stereotypical hypersociability in domestic dogs." Science Advances, 3(7), e1700398.

Zak, P. J. (2014). "Why dogs are more loyal than cats." The Atlantic.